



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,099	08/21/2003	Jeff Scott Eder	VM-55	7276
53787	7590	12/03/2010		
ASSET TRUST, INC. 2020 MALTBY ROAD SUITE 7362 BOTHELL, WA 98021			EXAMINER MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
			ART UNIT 3684	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/03/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10645099	8/21/2003	EDER, JEFF SCOTT	VM-55

## EXAMINER

Susanna M.. Diaz

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3684                    20101124

## DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

## Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on June 30, 2010 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because: In a notice of non-compliant amendment mailed to Applicant on April 2, 2010, Applicant was specifically asked to provide the proper status identifier for each claim. Applicant was particularly asked to correct the status identifiers of claims 33-40 to reflect these claims as "withdrawn." In response to the notice of non-compliant amendment, Applicant still fails to identify claims 33-40 as withdrawn. Applicant completely fails to address the status identifier issues raised in the notice of non-compliant amendment; therefore, the reply filed on June 30, 2010 is deemed to be non-responsive. Since no attempt was made to address the status identifier issues raised in the notice of non-compliant amendment mailed on April 2, 2010, Applicant's reply filed on June 30, 2010 is deemed to be a non-bona-fide attempt at a proper response to the last Office correspondence of April 2, 2010. Additionally, Applicant filed a petition to suspend prosecution on June 30, 2010. This petition was denied. Applicant has been granted no additional period for response.

/Susanna M. Diaz/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3684